



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.4103/2348-3407.174957](https://doi.org/10.4103/2348-3407.174957)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Kheur, M., Kakade, D., Sethi, T., Coward, T., & Rajikumar, M. (2016). Evaluation of the effect of UV stabilizers on the change in colour of a silicone elastomer following ageing by three different methods -An In-Vitro Study. *Journal of Dental Research and Scientific Development*, 3(1), 18-23. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2348-3407.174957>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Evaluation of the effect of UV stabilizers on the change in colour of a silicone elastomer following ageing by three different methods. An In-Vitro Study

Mohit Kheur, Dilip Kakade, Tania Sethi, Trevor Coward, M Rajkumar

Abstract:

Aim and objective-To compare and evaluate the effect of UV stabilizers (UV absorbers and Hindered Amine Light Stabilizers-HALS) on the colour change of a commonly used silicone elastomer subjected to ageing by three different methods.

Materials and methods- 4 groups of 30 samples each were studied; Group A-Control, Group B-Silicone+ UVA (Chimassorb 81), Group C- Silicone+ HALS (Uvinul 5050) and Group D-Silicone + Combination (UVA+ HALS) (Chimassorb 81+Uvinul 5050). Each group was further divided into groups of 10 samples each based on the method of ageing- accelerated weathering chamber (Weather-Ometer), artificial perspiration and a cleansing agent. CIE Lab colour values L^* , a^* and b^* were measured for all samples before and after weathering and change in colour (Delta-E) was calculated. One-way ANOVA was applied to compare the mean values of the 4 groups and the Post Hoc Tukey test was carried out to compare between 2 groups.

Results- All groups showed a significant colour change. For Group A the colour change (average Delta E) for accelerated weathering, artificial perspiration and cleansing agent was 1.479, 0.617 and 0.62 respectively. Similarly, for Group B it was 1.109, 0.509 and 0.507; for Group C it was 1.866, 0.652 and 0.997 and for Group D it was 1.303, 0.829

and 1.033 respectively. UVA (Chimassorb 81) showed the least change consistently in all 3 types of ageing.

Conclusion-Addition of UV stabilizer Chimassorb 81 showed a reduction in colour change of the silicone elastomer. Following studies on the effect of these additives on the physical properties of elastomers has shown that they can have a potential use in maxillofacial prosthetic rehabilitation.

Keywords: Maxillofacial prosthesis, Silicone elastomer, UV stabilizers, Colour change

Introduction:

Silicone elastomers are successfully being used to rehabilitate patients with extra-oral defects that are either present congenitally or as a result of trauma, burns and surgical resections.¹

These elastomers are biocompatible, durable, inert, and easy to manipulate and colour to match the natural skin. However, one of the main drawbacks of prostheses made from these elastomers is that they degrade and discolour over a period of time.²⁻⁶ This requires the prostheses to be remade periodically, generally every 6 months-2 years, increasing the cost of rehabilitation.^{3,7} The color change has been attributed to factors like UV radiation, temperature changes, humidity, and the use of adhesives, cosmetics, cleansing agents, and exposure to body fluids.⁷⁻¹²

The popularity and increasing demand for these elastomers has increased the interest of researchers to improve their properties. Current research strategies have been

directed towards improving the mechanical properties and color stability of pigmented elastomers. The addition of UV stabilizers, thermochromic pigments and opacifiers to improve the colour stability of pigmented elastomers have been tried in the past with varying results.¹³⁻¹⁷

UV degradation occurs on constant exposure to UV rays produced by the sun and depends to a large extent on their duration, extent and intensity. UV stabilizers have been used extensively in the polymer,¹⁸ paint, cosmetic and plastic industries as well as for wood¹⁹ and cellulose fabrics²⁰ to prevent colour degradation and increase the life of these products. UV stabilizers are a broad term which includes UV absorbers (UVA), and Hindered amine light stabilizers (HALS), both having different modes of action.

Studies by Gary et al.²¹ and Hatamleh et al.²² have shown that the change in colour has been attributed to the degradation in the elastomer itself. Hence, the first strategy towards increasing the longevity of prostheses by reducing its colour change should be towards improving colour stability of the silicone elastomer itself. In order to understand fully the behavior of silicones and UV stabilizers, three common modes of weathering need to be studied, namely, the accelerated environmental weathering in a weathering chamber, artificial perspiration and a cleansing agent. The change in colour following such weathering methods is expressed as a Delta E value. A Delta E value greater than 1 (Kiat-Amnuay et al.¹³, Lemon et al.⁷, Haug et al.³), 2 (Beatty et al.²³, Polyzois et al.²⁴) and 3 (Kantola et al.¹⁴) has been considered perceptible to the human eye. This research study is an attempt to evaluate the effect of UV stabilizers on the colour change of unpigmented silicone elastomer, using three different methods of weathering.

Materials And Methodology:

A commonly used platinum based maxillofacial silicone elastomer Z004 (Technovent Pvt. Ltd., UK) was used in a 1:1 base: catalyst ratio with no pigments added.

UV stabilizers namely UV absorber (Chimassorb 81, BASF, India) and HALS (Uvinul 5050, BASF, India) were used as additives.

A total of 4 groups were studied with 30 samples each. These were Group A- Control, Group B- UVA (Chimassorb 81), Group C-HALS (Uvinul 5050) and Group D- Combination of UVA and HALS (Chimassorb 81+Uvinul 5050).(Table 1) These groups were further divided into sub groups of 10 samples each according to those aged by accelerated weathering in a chamber, artificial perspiration and cleansing agent. (Table 2)

Stainless steel sheets of 4mm thickness were wire cut (`Precision Wire EDM` machine, Sodick, Germany) into square plates of 10cms X 10 cms. They were paired into sets of 3. The middle sheet was further wire cut to obtain square shaped cavities for samples of 2cm X 2cms. Holes to retain nuts and bolts were made at the 4 corners of the sheets to secure the assembly.

1% by weight of respective UV stabilizer for Groups B (Chimassorb 81) and C (Uvinul 5050) and 0.5% by weight of each UV stabilizer (Chimassorb 81 and Uvinul 5050) for Group D were weighed, added and thoroughly mixed with the silicone (base +catalyst) manually using a metal spatula for 5 minutes. The moulds were carefully loaded and clamped under pressure for 24 hours to allow the silicone to polymerize. The samples

were then retrieved, the excess cut away carefully and cleaned thoroughly with acetone and cotton.

The samples obtained were randomly distributed into 3 groups for ageing- Accelerated weathering (Weather-Ometer, Xenon Arc Ci 4000 , Atlas Material Testing Technology, USA), ageing using artificial perspiration and ageing using a cleansing agent for Groups A,B,C and D.

The designated samples were placed in the Weather-Ometer for 300 hours. Alternating light and dark cycles for a total of 180 minutes completed one cycle. The light cycle (120 minutes) included an irradiance of 340nm of 0.55W/m^2 , humidity of 50% and a chamber temperature of 47 degrees Celsius with water spray for 60 minutes. This was followed by 60 minutes without water spray. The dark cycle lasted for 60 minutes with a temperature of 38 degrees Celsius, humidity of 95% and irradiance at 340nm of 0.55W/m^2 . These parameters were selected keeping in mind tropical climatic conditions.

Artificial perspiration was prepared in the laboratory. The composition included 0.5 grams L- histidine monohydrochloride monohydrate, 5 grams Sodium Chloride (NaCl), 5 grams Disodium hydrogen orthophosphate dodecahydrate ($\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4 \times 12 \text{H}_2\text{O}$) and 2.2 grams Sodium dihydrogen orthophosphate dehydrate ($\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4 \times 2 \text{H}_2\text{O}$) in 1 litre of distilled water.^{22,24} All chemicals were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC. Bangalore, India. The pH of the perspiration was adjusted to a neutral pH of 7 using 0.1 mol/l Sodium Hydroxide (NaOH).

The cleansing agent included a 1:1 dilution of commercially available Dettol Sensitive (Reckitt Banckiser Ltd., India) with tap water. For ageing using the artificial perspiration

and cleansing agent, the samples were immersed in the respective solutions for a period of 100 hours.

Testing of samples was carried out before and after ageing by the respective methods. For the groups subjected to accelerated weathering in a weathering chamber, (AA, BA, CA, DA), the colour was measured at 100 and 300 hours whereas for the other it was only measured at 100 hours. The samples for all groups were thoroughly cleaned with cotton and acetone prior to testing.

The colour variables L^* , a^* , b^* according to the CIE (Commission Internationale d'Eclairage) Lab system were measured using a spectrophotometer (TES-135 Color Meter, Instruments and Machinery Sales Corporation, Mumbai, India) before and after ageing.

The L^* parameter corresponds to the degree of lightness and darkness (100 ideal white, 0 ideal black), while a^* and b^* coordinates correspond to red or green chroma ($+a$ = red, $-a$ = green) and yellow or blue chroma ($+b$ = yellow, $-b$ = blue), respectively. The Delta E (change in color) was calculated for each sample using a software with the formula $\Delta E = ([\Delta L^*]^2 + [\Delta a^*]^2 + [\Delta b^*]^2)^{1/2}$, where ΔL^* , Δa^* and Δb^* are the difference in L, a and b values before and after ageing.

One-way ANOVA was applied to compare the mean values of the 4 groups. A further analysis, the Post Hoc Tukey test was carried out to compare between 2 groups. The significance level was considered at 0.05 and a confidence interval was 95%.

Results:

Average Delta E values obtained for Groups A, B, C and D were obtained. (Table 3)

1. For samples subjected to accelerated weathering in the chamber, at 100 hours there was no statistical difference between the groups. However, at 300 hours, Group B (Chimassorb 81) showed the least change and this change was statistically significant between Group B (Chimassorb 81) and C (Uvinul 5050) ($P < 0.05$). Hence, between the UVA and HALS, the UVA showed the least colour change. (Fig.1)
2. For samples subjected to artificial perspiration, Group B (Chimassorb 81) showed the least colour change, the difference being statistically significant between Group B (Chimassorb 81) and D (Combination) ($P < 0.05$). (Fig.2)
3. For the samples subjected to the cleansing agent, Group B (Chimassorb 81) again showed the least colour change. However, this change was not statistically significant as compared to the other groups. (Fig.3)
4. An addition of the Delta E values of all 3 methods of ageing showed highly statistically significant results. This was highly significant ($P < 0.01$) between Group B (Chimassorb 81) and C (Uvinul 5050). It was statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) between Groups A (Control) and C (Uvinul 5050) and Groups B (Chimassorb 81) and D (Combination). (Fig.4)

Discussion:

Degradation of silicone elastomers during the course of their use is known to have a multifactorial etiology.²⁵ Such degradation usually occurring over a period of 1-2 years frequently necessitate re-makes that are cumbersome for the patient and the clinician alike. One of the main causes of colour deterioration is a constant exposure to UV rays produced by the sun and is dependent on the duration, extent and intensity of the exposure. UV stabilizers have been used extensively in the polymer, paint, cosmetic and plastic industries as well as for wood and cellulose fabrics to prevent color degradation and increase the life of these products.¹⁸⁻²⁰

A UV stabilizer is a broad term including UV absorbers (UVA) and Hindered amine light stabilizers (HALS), both of which have different mechanisms of actions. The empirical requirements for optimal action of the stabilizers are high solubility, minimal diffusion and high distribution homogeneity.¹⁸ The literature has reported on UV degradation of elastomers and a subsequent change in their mechanical and optical properties.^{7-10,24} The strategies for stabilization of elastomers have included the use of UV stabilizers, thermochromic pigments and opacifiers.^{7,13-17}

Such attempts at stabilization of elastomers have yielded mixed results. Kantola¹⁴ found that thermochromic pigments were very sensitive to UV radiation and not suitable for prosthetic application whereas Kiat-amnuay¹³ studied various concentrations of opacifiers and found that they prevent colour degradation overtime. In this study, Chimassorb 81, a benzophenone UV absorber (UVA) and Uvinul 5050, an oligomeric Hindered amine light stabilizer (HALS) were studied.

In the past, varying concentrations of UV stabilizers have been documented by researchers with mixed results (Chu and Fischer et al.¹⁶ used 1.5% weight UVA and found that it was effective in reducing yellowing of the elastomer, Lemon et al.⁷ used 12%, .25% by weight UVA and found that these did not protect the samples and Tran et al.¹⁵ used 75% by weight UVA and HALS and found that this was effective only for a certain pigmented groups). In this study 1% by weight of UVA (Chimassorb 81) and 1% by weight of HALS (Uvinul 5050) for Groups B and C respectively and 0.5% of each for Group D was used. All these additives are FDA approved biocompatible additives manufactured by BASF Chemicals, Mumbai, India Division.

Since the discolouration of silicone elastomers has been documented to be brought about by a myriad of sources of photo chemical insults, the authors believe at least 3 types of weathering methods should be evaluated to understand the colour change during clinical use. This study has utilized accelerated ageing, artificial perspiration and a cleansing agent for simulating photo-chemical insult to the silicone. Ageing parameters for accelerated weathering in a chamber, use of artificial perspiration and cleansing agent were based on protocols followed by Hatamleh et al.²² and Eleni et al.²⁶

Artificial perspiration solution was obtained by following the methodology described by Hatamleh et al.²² and Polyzois et al..²⁴ Such a solution has been used as a standard for exposure of the silicone elastomer samples to simulated body fluids. The cleansing agent chosen for the study was one of the most popular agents used by patients for cleaning and disinfecting prostheses in India. Care was taken to ensure that a diluted solution was used and that the solution was clear and colourless in order to eliminate any potential discolouration of the silicone.

For the samples subjected to accelerated weathering in a chamber (Weather-Ometer), the greatest change in colour was seen with samples stabilized by Uvinul 5050 (Delta E-1.866), followed by Control samples (Delta E-1.479) and those stabilized by a combination of UV stabilizers (Delta E -1.303). The least discolouration was seen with Chimassorb 81 stabilized samples (Delta E -1.109) (Fig.4). The weathering was done for 300 hours in this phase of the study.

The effect of UV radiation has been known to enhance cross linking, break down of polymer chain bonds, reduce polymerization and decompose the elastomers, all of which may contribute to color instability.^{22,27} It is likely that Chimassorb 81 compound is able to better absorb UV rays from sunlight and dissipate this energy throughout the polymer matrix, thereby preventing degradation.

For the samples subjected to artificial perspiration, the greatest colour change was seen with the samples stabilized by a combination of UV stabilizers (Delta E -0.829) followed by the samples stabilized by Uvinul 5050 (Delta E -0.652) and the Control samples (Delta E -0.617). The least change in colour was again seen with Chimassorb 81 stabilized samples (Delta E -0.509) (Fig.5).

Perspiration can modify surface characteristics and result in extraction of some compounds from the elastomer into the solution, thereby accelerating the interaction of fatty acids with silicone. This has been known to contribute to the colour change.²⁷ It is likely that Uvinul 5050 which is present in the groups that showed the greatest amount of discoloration promotes the extraction of siloxane compounds, this effect being more

prominent in the samples that were stabilized using the combination of UV stabilizers (Chimassorb 81 and Uvinul 5050).

For samples subjected to ageing using a cleansing agent, the greatest colour change was seen with the samples stabilized with a combination of UV stabilizers and the least change was seen with the Chimassorb 81 stabilized samples (Delta E -0.507) (Fig.6).

This study utilized a 100 hour immersion protocol in comparison to a previous study by Eleni²⁶ that used 30 hours of immersion in the disinfectant to simulate 1 year of use. A 100 hour protocol was followed keeping in mind the tropical conditions, increased perspiration and pollution in the Indian environment. Colour change with this group of samples can be attributed to the contents of the cleansing agent and their interaction with the silicone surface producing surface alterations.

A similar phenomenon has been described by Eleni et al²⁶ where four disinfecting agents were used and demonstrated that chemical insult results in colour change following surface modulations. It is also probable that a difference in surface hydrophobicity following use of stabilizers can contribute to changes in the absorption and adsorption of the soap solutions on the silicone surface thereby producing a colour change over time.^{28,29}

It must also be noted that this study used unpigmented elastomers which have been shown to discolour more than pigmented elastomers as pigments are known to add stability to elastomers.³ As reported by Mancuso et al.,²⁸ this colour change of the elastomer itself can be attributed to inherent chemical alterations in the silicone and a

probability of absorption and adsorption of substances that can take place from the surface of the silicone.

Amongst the stabilizers studied in this research, Chimassorb 81 (UVA) showed the least colour change. Chimassorb 81 (Fig.8), chemical name Methanone, [2-hydroxy-4-(octyloxy)phenyl]phenyl,- and molecular weight of 326.4 g/mol; is preferably used for thick films of materials (>100µm) especially plasticized PVC and rubbers. The thickness of the samples or absorption depth in this study was 4mm contributing to protection of the polymer.³⁰

As the name suggests, UV absorbers soak up harmful UV rays from sunlight converting this energy into heat energy which is then dissipated.³⁰ They prevent the formation of harmful free radicals. On the other hand, HALS protect the basic material structure and help neutralize the harmful photochemical free radicals. HALS regenerate themselves and hence provide protection over a longer period of time. It is probable that Chimassorb absorbs heat energy, preventing photosensitization. Its colour is transparent to visible light and does not alter the appearance of the elastomer thereby contributing to its stabilizing effect.¹⁸

The accelerated weathering chamber is used to simulate weathering conditions and includes parameters like UV lighting and radiation, water spray to simulate humidity and temperature. A combined effect of these can probably cause a more pronounced change compared to that produced by one parameter alone. UV irradiation can

contribute to enhancing cross linking leading to breaking down of chain bonds and decomposing of elastomer.²²

The samples subjected to artificial perspiration showed least colour change when compared to the samples subjected to the cleansing agent. This was not in agreement to a study by Hatamleh²² which showed that the antimicrobial cleansing agent produces the least colour change when compared to acidic perspiration. This is probably due the silicone cleaning agent used and the weathering protocol followed which included a 120 minute cycle, 102 minutes of dry weathering and 18 minutes of wet, humidity 70% and air pressure of 70-1060hPa.

The combination of UVA and HALS in this study did not show satisfactory results. The combination showed more overall colour change (Delta E 3.165) than the UVA alone (Delta E 2.125) (Fig.7). This may be due to the inhibitory action of the HALS on the UVA. Thus a benzophenone UVA such as Chimassorb 81 may be preferred over an oligomeric HALS. Chimassorb 81 (UVA) showed promising results with all 3 methods of ageing. This gives future directions to study this material in different concentrations and compositions, its effect on pigmented samples and physical properties of the elastomer.

This study was an in-vitro simulation of the clinical usage of prostheses and the photochemical insult that they are subjected to. Although standardized protocols for weathering have been followed, the actual clinical use of the prostheses in daily life can be different and variable.

Different types of elastomers, including those different in their compositions and manufacturing protocols can be tested. A variety of other UV stabilizers, their

combinations and concentrations can be evaluated. The effect of these stabilizers on different pigmented elastomers and their subsequent stabilization can be tried. The effect of such stabilization on the mechanical properties like hardness, tear strength and density of the elastomers can be studied. Evaluation over longer periods of time and combining methods of ageing to simulate natural conditions can also be studied. Stabilization of the pigments itself is an exciting area of future research.

Conclusion:

Within the limitations of the study, it can be concluded that UVA Chimassorb 81 consistently showed least colour change as compared to the other studied groups with all three methods of ageing. Amongst the methods of ageing, accelerated weathering (Weather-Ometer) showed the maximum change. Following studies on the effect of these additives on the physical properties of elastomers, they can have a potential use in maxillofacial prosthetic rehabilitation.

References:

1. Bulbulian AH. Maxillofacial Prosthetics: Evolution And Practical Application In Patient Rehabilitation. J Prosthet Dent. 1965 May –June;15:544-69.
2. Gunay Y, Kurtoglu C, Atay A, Karayazgan B and Gurbuz CC . Effect of Tulle on the mechanical properties of a maxillofacial silicone elastomer .Dent Mater J 2008; 27(6):775-9.
3. Haug SP, Moore BK, Andres CJ. Color stability and Colorant effect on maxillofacial elastomers. Part II: Weathering effect on physical properties. J Prosthet Dent. 1999;81:423-30.
4. Hulterstrom AK, Ruyter IE. Changes in appearance of silicone elastomers for maxillofacial prostheses as a result of aging. Int J Prosthodont 1999;12:498-504.
5. Mohite UH, Sandrik J, Land MF, Byrne G. Environmental factors affecting mechanical properties of facial prosthetic elastomers. Int J Prosthodont 1994;7:479-86.

6. Beumer J, Curtis TA, Maurinick MT . Maxillofacial Rehabilitation: Prosthodontic and surgical considerations, St.Louis, Elsevier publications.1996:436.
7. Lemon JC, Chambers MS, Jacobsen ML, Powers JM. Color stability of facial prostheses. J Prosthet Dent 1995;74:613-8.
8. Chen MS, Udagama A, Drane JB. Evaluation of facial prostheses for head and neck cancer patients. J Prosthet Dent 1981;46:538-54.
9. Fine L, Robinson JE, Barnhart GW, Karl L. New method for coloring facial prostheses. J Prosthet Dent 1978;39:643-9.
10. Jani RM, Schaaf NG. An evaluation of facial prostheses. J Prosthet Dent 1978;39:546-50.
11. Hanson MD, Shipman B, Blomfield JV, Janus CE. Commercial cosmetics and their role in the coloring of facial prostheses. J Prosthet Dent 1983;50:818-20.
12. Andres CJ, Haug SP, Munoz CA, Bernal G. Effects of environmental factors on maxillofacial elastomers: part I--literature review. J Prosthet Dent 1992;68:327-30.
13. Kiat-Amnuay S, Johnston DA, Powers JM, et al: Color stability of dry earth pigmented maxillofacial silicone A-2186 subjected to microwave energy exposure. J Prosthodont 2005;14:91-96.
14. Kantola.R, Lassila LVJ, Tolvanen M, Valittu PK. Color stability of thermochromic pigment in maxillofacial silicone. J Adv Prosthodont 2013;5:75-83.
15. Tran NH, Scarbecz M, Gary JJ: In vitro evaluation of color change in maxillofacial elastomer through the use of an ultraviolet light absorber and a hindered amine light stabilizer. J Prosthet Dent 2004;91:483-90.

16. Chu CC, Fischer TE. Evaluation of sunlight stability of polyurethane elastomers for maxillofacial use. *J Biomed Mater Res* 1978;12:347-59.
17. Han Y, Zhao Y, Xie C, Powers J, Kiat-amnuay S. Color stability of pigmented maxillofacial silicone elastomer: Effects of nano-oxides as opacifiers. *J Dent*. 2010; 38:100-5
18. Feldman D. Polymer Weathering: Photo-Oxidation. *J Environ Polym Degrad* 2002;10(4):163-73.
19. George B, Suttie E, Merlin A, Deglis X. Photodegradation and photostabilisation of wood e the state of the art. *Polym Degrad Stab* 2005;88:268-74.
20. Czajkowski W, Paluszkiewicz J, Stolarski R, Kaz'mierska M, Grzesiak E. Synthesis of reactive UV absorbers, derivatives of monochlorotriazine, for improvement in protecting properties of cellulose fabrics. *Dyes Pigments* 2006;71:224-30.
21. Gary JJ, Huget EF, Powell LD: Accelerated color change in a maxillofacial elastomer with and without pigmentation. *J Prosthet Dent* 2001;85:614-620.
22. Hatamleh MM, Watts DC. Effect of Extraoral Aging Conditions on Color Stability of Maxillofacial Silicone Elastomer. *J Prosthodont* 2010;19:536–543.
23. Beatty MW, Mahanna G, Dick K, Jia W. Color changes in dry-pigmented maxillofacial elastomer resulting from ultraviolet light exposure. *J Prosthet Dent*.1995;74:493-8.
24. Polyzois GL, Tarantili PA, Frangou MJ, Andreopoulos A. Physical properties of a silicone prosthetic elastomer stored in simulated skin secretions. *J Prosthet Dent* 2000;83:572-7

25. Polyzois GL. Color stability of facial silicone prosthetic polymers after outdoor weathering. *J Prosthet Dent* 1999;82:447-50.
26. Eleni. PN, Magdalini K, Polyzois GL, Gettleman L. Effect of different disinfecting procedures on the hardness and color stability of two maxillofacial elastomers over time. *J Appl Oral Sci.* 2013;21(3):278-83.
27. Goiato CM, Pesqueira AA, Moreno A, Micheline dos Santos D, Haddad MF, Bannwart LC. Effects of pigment, disinfection, and accelerated aging on the hardness and deterioration of a facial silicone elastomer. *Polym Degrad Stab* 2012;97:1577-80.
28. Mancuso DN, Goiato MC, Dekon SF, Gennari-Filho H. Visual evaluation of color stability after accelerated aging of pigmented and nonpigmented silicones to be used in facial prostheses. *Indian J Dent Res* 2009; 20(1):77-80.
29. Aziz T, Waters M, Jagger R. Analysis of the properties of silicone rubber maxillofacial prosthetic materials. *J Dent* 2003; 31:67–74.
30. Kiat-amnuay S, Mekayarajjananonh T, Powers JM, Chambers MS, Lemon JC. Interactions of pigments and opacifiers on color stability of MDX4-4210/type A maxillofacial elastomers subjected to artificial aging. *J Prosthet Dent* 2006;95:249-57.

Figure legends:

Figure 1: Comparison of colour change (Delta E) following ageing in an accelerated weathering chamber- 300 hours

Figure 2: Comparison of colour change (Delta E) following ageing in artificial perspiration-100 hours

Figure 3: Comparison of colour change (Delta E) following ageing in a cleansing agent - 100 hours

Figure 4: Comparison of the total colour change following all 3 methods of ageing

Table legends:

Table 1: Materials used

Table 2: Groups and subgroups studied

Table 3: Average Delta E values for all groups

Silicone	Z004 (Technovent Pvt. Ltd., UK)
UV absorber	Chimassorb 81, BASF, India
HALS	Uvinul 5050, BASF, India
Weather-O- meter	Weather-Ometer, Xenon Arc Ci 4000 , Atlas Material Testing Technology, USA
Cleansing agent	Dettol Sensitive (Reckitt Banckiser Ltd., India)
Artificial perspiration	prepared in the laboratory

Table 1: Materials used

GROUP	AGEING	Accelerated weathering chamber (n=10)	Artificial perspiration (n=10)	Cleansing agent (n=10)

Group A- Control	AA	AP	AD
Group B- UVA (Chimassorb 81)	BA	BP	BD
Group C- HALS (Uvinul 5050)	CA	CP	CD
Group D- Combination (UVA+ HALS)	DA	DP	DD

Table 2: Groups and subgroups studied

<div>AGEING</div> <div>GROUP</div>	<div>Accelerated weathering chamber</div> <div>(n=10)</div>	<div>Artificial perspiration</div> <div>(n=10)</div>	<div>Cleansing agent</div> <div>(n=10)</div>
------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------

Group A- Control	1.479	0.617	0.62
Group B- UVA (Chimassorb 81)	1.109	0.509	0.507
Group C- HALS (Uvinul 5050)	1.866	0.652	0.997
Group D- Combination (UVA+ HALS)	1.303	0.829	1.033

Table 3: Average Delta E values for all groups